

## UNITED STAT. J DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
09/769,212	01/25/01	HARRIS		J			
			コ	EXAMINER			
JOHN F. HAR	RIS	IM22/1009		UPTON.C			
1464 RIDGEVIEW PLNW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALBANY OR 9	7321			1724 DATE MAILED:	6		
					10/09/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No. 769212		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	ļ		Group Art Unit		
	LAZITIME!	Min		1724		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cove	r sheet b	eneath the c	orrespondence a	address—	
Period for Reply	<b>አ</b> /ን	,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MAZS	MONTH(6	FROM THE MA	ILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set</li> </ul>	a reply within the statuult, expire SIX (6) MC	ntory minim	um of thirty (30)	days will be conside	ered timely.	
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					<u> </u>	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1				the merits is clo	osed in	
Disposition of Claims		,				
Claim(s) 178	is/are	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are allowed.				
☐ Claim(s):	is/are	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are objected to.				
₩ Claim(s) 1-18		are subject to restriction or election				
Application Papers			require	ement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-	948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		•	☐ disapprove	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Ex	aminer.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	under 35 U.S.C. {					
<ul> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. { of the priority docu	iments ha	ve been			
<ul> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. (of the priority documber)nternational Burea	u (PCT R	ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun ☐ received in this national stage application from the I  *Certified copies not received:	under 35 U.S.C. (of the priority documber)nternational Burea	u (PCT R	ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).			
<ul> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the I</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. § of the priority docun nber) nternational Burea	u (PCT R	ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun ☐ received in this national stage application from the I  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	under 35 U.S.C. § of the priority docun nber) nternational Burea	u (PCT R	ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).	·	ation, PTO-15	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_\_

## Art Unit 1724

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- a) Claims 2-4, 15 and 16, drawn to a catch basin filter bag with a top opening smaller than the grate.
  - b) Claim 5, drawn to a catch basin filter coupled to the grate with straps.
  - c) Claim 6, drawn to a catch basin filter coupled to the grate with wire.
  - d) Claim 7, drawn to a catch basin filter coupled to the grate with cable.
  - e) Claim 8, drawn to a catch basin filter underneath the grate.
  - f) Claim 9, drawn to a catch basin filter on top of the grate.
  - g) Claims 10 and 11, drawn to a catch basin filter enveloping the grate.
  - h) Claim 13, drawn to a catch basin filter of porous fabric.
  - i) Claim 14, drawn to a catch basin filter for removal of hazardous waste.
  - j) Claim 17, drawn to a catch basin filter having a wire pull cord.
  - k) Claim 18, drawn to a catch basin filter having a cable pull cord.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1 and 12 are generic. In addition, claim 16 is generic to claims 17 and 18 only.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a

Art Unit 1724

listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Christopher Upton at telephone number (703) 308-3741.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER